

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,546	09/27/2001	Yasuo Yamamoto	110704	110704 9227 EXAMINER	
25944 7:	590 11/02/2004	•	EXAM		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			PATEL, NITIN		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2673		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	E MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/963,546	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
7. 3.1.3.1, 7.10.110.11	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Nitin Patel	2673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application abandone which a contract which are the contract and applications are the contract and	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the filed, and the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
 5.☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ required the application in condition for allowance becaused.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.	·	
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	• .		
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
0. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that How does not teach or suggest an image display medium includes two praticles and a charge controller is internally added to one or both of the particles this limitation was discussed in a telephone interview with Ms. levin and agree to clarify with amendment and still examiner would stand that it is not clear how a controller is added internally to one or both particles, drawing also does not show internally controller.

Amare M&)/2Amare Mengistu
Primary Examiner